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This is a supplemental document to the ACR Improved Forest Management Methodology for Quantifying GHG Removals and Emission Reductions through Increased Forest Carbon Sequestration on Non-Federal U.S. Forestlands, V1.2.

1.1 Errata: October 2017

The following errors were identified in version 1.2 posted in December 2016. Errata listed here will be reflected in the next published version of the methodology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A.2 Applicability Conditions | • Public non-federal ownerships currently subject to commercial timber harvesting in the with-project scenario must:  
  ▪ be certified by FSC, SFI, or ATFS or become certified within one year of the project Start Date; or  
  ▪ have its forest management plan sanctioned by a unit of elected government officials within a state, or a state agency, or a federal agency  
  ▪ Please note that any such forest management plans must be updated at minimum every 10 years  

  to  

• Public non-federal ownerships currently subject to commercial timber harvesting in the with-project scenario must:  
  ▪ be certified by FSC, SFI, or ATFS or become certified within one year of the project Start Date; or  
  ▪ have its forest management plan sanctioned by senior government officials within a state, or a state agency, or a federal agency  
  ▪ Please note that any such forest management plans must be updated at minimum every 10 years |
1.2  Clarifications: October 2017

The following were identified for clarification in the version 1.2 of the methodology posted in December 2016. Clarification listed here will be reflected in next published version of the methodology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Reference</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3.1.1 Tree Carbon Stock Calculation | Added:  
To ensure accuracy and conservative estimation of the mean aboveground live biomass per unit area within the Project Area, Projects that have not started verification at the time this erratum is published must account for missing cull in both the ex ante and ex post baseline and project scenarios. Missing cull deductions should be determined using cull attribute data collected during field measurement of sample plots. Missing cull deductions may be conservatively estimated based on ecologically relevant and regionally specific data only if cull attribute data were not collected in field inventories conducted prior to this erratum. If standing dead biomass is included as a pool, biomass estimates must reflect decay. |